UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,996	07/20/2005	Carl William Riley	7175-202356	6694	
69781 BARNES & TI	7590 09/17/200 HORNBURG, LLP	7	EXAMINER		
11 SOUTH ME	ERIDIAN STREET		SANTOS, ROBERT G		
INDIANAPOL	.18, IN 46204		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3673		
•			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,996	RILEY ET AL.	÷ · · ·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert G. Santos	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulating the sound and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/12	2/2004: 07/20/2005 and on 06/07	/2007	
	action is non-final.	<u> 2007</u> .	. •
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
·			e
4) Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are pending in the application.			eş.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.		· · · ·
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			• .
8) Claim(s) 1-94 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
=			
Application Papers			.;
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•	•	٠.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	4.40471)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of John F10	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			**
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (f).	•
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		· ·;
2 Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			age
application from the International Bureau	a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			*
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		Ž.
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/510,996

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

SPECIES 1 FIGURES 1-9

SPECIES 2 FIGURES 10 & 11

SPECIES 3 FIGURES 12-24

SPECIES 4 FIGURES 25-30.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

, "

Application/Control Number: 10/510,996

Art Unit: 3673

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-19, 21-32, 36-77, 81 and 82 are deemed to correspond to Species 1.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11-17, 21-26, 30-32, 36-40, 44-46, 49-52, 56-62, 65-70 and 74-77 are deemed to correspond to Species 2.

Claims 15, 16, 21-24, 26, 32-36, 61, 62, 66, 67, 74, 75, 77-79 and 83-89 are deemed to correspond to Species 3.

Claims 15, 20, 74, 80 and 90-94 are deemed to correspond to Species 4.

The following claim(s) are generic: 15 and 74.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species 1 and 2 both contain the special technical features of emitters which are configured to generate a wireless curtain between a base frame and an elevating frame of a hospital bed; these elements are not contained in Species 3 and 4. Furthermore, Species 2 includes the unique special technical features of emitters disposed on the base frame of a hospital bed which are each paired with one of a plurality of detectors disposed only on the elevating frame of the hospital bed, Species 3 includes the unique special technical features of emitters and associated detectors that are affixed only to the base frame of a hospital bed, and Species 4 contains the unique special technical features of sensors comprising force sensing tape switches coupled only to the base frame of a hospital bed.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Ronald S. Henderson on September 7, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7048. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert G. Santos Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673